



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

view. That Gray's 'Manual' is often used for the mere determination of names of plants does not interfere with this its higher and primary use. This distinction 'A teacher' seems to ignore. If he will call to mind that it is not from finding out mere names of objects, or giving them, but from weighing and discussing the nature, meaning, and causes of the relative affinities of organized beings, that the whole philosophy of natural history has arisen, he will perhaps agree that it is not best to teach pupils to think that they have gained the least knowledge of nature, when they merely know what their elders name a given object. The name may be called a necessary evil; and unless, with it, is more emphatically acquired a knowledge of the structural and biological relations of the object which it bears to other objects, it is worse than useless knowledge. This idea should underlie every manual for instruction.

SAMUEL H. SCUDDER.

Coloring geological maps.

Having occasion recently to have printed a miniature geological map of Indiana, I endeavored to use the colors recommended by the International congress of geologists. Supposing that my endeavor might be more or less suggestive to those interested in the subject, I sent specimens of the map to the members present at the Berlin meeting of the congress, and with them a letter in which I pointed out the difficulties I had encountered in using these colors. I am indebted to Dr. Persifer Frazer for calling attention to my oversight in using them. My apology is, that I selected the colors from the specimen sheet printed in Berlin, and sent out with the American committee's report of the work of the congress. This sheet is entitled the "*Gamme des couleurs (provisoire) pour la carte géologique internationale de l'Europe.*" Upon it the colors for the Devonian are for its three subdivisions, while no colors or modifications of colors are given for subdivisions of the subcarboniferous, and no reference is made to explanations elsewhere. On its face this sheet claims to be complete in itself.

Had I referred, as I see that I should have done, now that Dr. Frazer calls my attention to the matter, to the report of the international committee, and then again to the proceedings of the congress, to ascertain whether or not certain recommendations of the committee were adopted, I should have found that my difficulties had been anticipated, and should have saved myself the trouble of mentioning them. It seems to me, however, that the very fact that such a process is necessary — that one cannot safely use this color-scheme without explanations other than those to be found upon the sheet — is evidence that this system is not all that one might reasonably expect.

As to the purpose of the scheme, I supposed from the first that it was intended for geology the world over; but, after my maps were partly printed, a member of the American committee, to whom I mentioned my difficulty, suggested that these colors were intended only for European geology, and called my attention to the title of the specimen sheet given above.

Dr. Frazer seems to think it unreasonable to expect any system of colors to give entire satisfaction on so small a map. I have no fault to find with the international system on this score, especially as the geology of Indiana is very simple.

The difficulty in subdividing the carboniferous does not come from the scale of the map, but simply from the absence of any fixed method of indicating the subdivisions. To be sure, geologists are left to differentiate as they choose, provided they all use gray; but I may use one method, and another person may use a very different one, the result of which is the absence of uniformity; and uniformity, I take it, is the prime object of a color scheme. In such cases the subdivisions require explanations. My idea of a universal color-system is, that, once introduced, it would need no explanations.

The report of the committee upon the map of Europe suggests that in such a case as the one I refer to in the letter sent out, when the terrane is of a known system, but unknown subdivisions, an initial letter be used in connection with the mean shade of color.

If, instead of colors, we are to use letters, I submit whether we can fairly call such a method of representation a color scheme. JOHN C. BRANNER.

Bloomington, Ind., Nov. 10.

Butter and fats.

Science (Sept. 10, p. 223) says: "Dr. Thomas Taylor's microscopic method for detecting the adulterations of butter with foreign fats seems destined to assume as many shapes as Proteus." Were this even so, it should not excite surprise, considering that about sixty different compositions have been secured under United States patents for butter substitutes, from which it will be seen that oleomargarine has itself become a veritable 'Proteus.' *Science* further says: "At first the globose forms obtained by the boiling and subsequent slow cooling of butter, and exhibiting the Saint Andrew's cross under polarized light, were brought prominently forward as distinguishing marks of pure butter." Answer: What I have stated is, that, when pure butter is boiled, cooled, and viewed as described, globose bodies (butter crystals) appear, exhibiting the Saint Andrew's cross, a fact not now disputed; that lard similarly treated yields a crystal, spinous, without cross; that beef-fat gives a branched and foliated crystal, without cross, — all of which Professor Weber admits, summing up the results of his first three experiments in the following words: "Thus far the results and statements of Dr. Taylor are fully corroborated."

If, however, *Science* intends the inference that I have represented that globose bodies with cross, discovered in any butter-like material when boiled, is a proof that said material is butter, I have only to say that no such idea has ever been entertained by me, or published over my signature. If the inference is intended that the discovery of the butter crystal and cross has some relation to my method of distinguishing oleomargarine from butter, nothing could be farther from the truth. My method of distinguishing oleomargarine from butter consists simply in demonstrating that certain forms of fatty crystals not known to pure butter are constantly found in oleomargarine; and in order to accomplish this, I examine the suspected material, as found in the market, unboiled. By this means I can generally detect at once the lard or other foreign fats, if the material is an oleomargarine. It is manifest that the Saint Andrew's cross found in pure butter would not help me to discover crystals of lard in oleomargarine.